

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

Lamont Henderson, #10311-171,)	C/A NO. 3:07-227-CMC-JRM
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	OPINION and ORDER
)	
P. Liggin, Federal Correctional Officer;)	
Warden Jhon J. LaManna; and)	
Officer R.C. McLafferty, SIA, all sued in)	
their individual and official capacities,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this court pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(c), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On March 28, 2008, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that Defendants' motions for summary judgment be granted. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on April 9, 2008.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by

the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and Plaintiff's objections, the court agrees with the conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and Recommendation by reference in this Order. Plaintiff's objections are merely repetitive of the arguments which were assessed and rejected by the Magistrate Judge, offering no persuasive legal authority to indicate the Magistrate Judge erred in his analysis of the motions and Plaintiff's complaint.

Defendants' motions for summary judgment are **granted** and this matter is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina
April 11, 2008

C:\Documents and Settings\Kgb07\Local Settings\Temp\notesE1EF34\07-227 Henderson v. LaManna e biven's adopt rr gr sumjgm.wpd